

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

RAJ BHOLE MD

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-14-1644-01

MFDR Date Received

FEBRUARY 7. 2014

Respondent Name

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 54

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "Designated Doctor Examinations are billed according to DWC Rule 134.204 and in accordance with Labor Code 408.004, 408.0041, and 408.151."

Amount in Dispute: \$150.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The requestor provided designated doctor exams on the date above and billed Texas Mutual \$650.00 for code 99456-W5-WP. Texas Mutual paid \$350.00 for the MMI exam and \$150.00 for the IR for the headaches and \$150.00 IR for the fractured tooth, both non-musculoskeletal areas per (J)(4)(D)(v) of Rule 134.204. No additional payment is due."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
September 20, 2013	CPT Code 99456-W5-WP Designated Doctor Evaluation	\$150.00	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204, effective March 1, 2008, sets the reimbursement guidelines for the disputed service.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - CAC-W1-Workers Compensation State Fee Schedule Adjustment.
 - 790-This charge was reimbursed in accordance to the Texas medical fee guideline.
 - CAC-W3, 350-In accordance with TDI-DWC Rule 134.804, this bill has been identified as a request for reconsideration or appeal.
 - CAC-193-Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.

• 724-No additional payment after a reconsideration of services.

<u>Issues</u>

Did the Designated Doctor bill for the MMI/IR evaluation in accordance with medical fee guideline? Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

On the disputed date of service the requestor billed CPT code 99456-W5-WP.

• 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(i)(1)(A) states "The following shall apply to Designated Doctor Examinations. (1) Designated Doctors shall perform examinations in accordance with Labor Code §§408.004, 408.0041 and 408.151 and Division rules, and shall be billed and reimbursed as follows: (A) Impairment caused by the compensable injury shall be billed and reimbursed in accordance with subsection (j) of this section, and the use of the additional modifier "W5" is the first modifier to be applied when performed by a designated doctor"

A review of the submitted medical billing finds that the requestor billed modifier "W5" as the first modifier appended to CPT code 99456.

 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(3) states "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation. (C) An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT Code 99456.
 Reimbursement shall be \$350."

The requestor billed CPT code 99456 because the examination was performed by a designated doctor; therefore, the requestor is due \$350.00 for the MMI examination.

• 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(4)(D) states "Non-musculoskeletal body areas shall be billed and reimbursed using the appropriate CPT code(s) for the test(s) required for the assignment of IR." A review of the submitted DDE report finds that the requestor performed an impairment rating on claimant's headaches and tooth. A review of the claimant's billing finds that the requestor did not bill the appropriate CPT code(s) for the test(s) required for the assignment of IR of the non-musculoskeletal body areas; therefore, additional reimbursement for the impairment rating is not recommended.

The requestor billed \$800.00 for the MMI/IR examination. The respondent paid \$650.00. Because the IR was not billed in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(4)(D), additional reimbursement is not recommended.

Conclusion

Authorized Signature

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

		11/13/2014
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.